arXiv:1602.01415v1 [math.AG] 3 Feb 2016

THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ AND $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$

ALEX ABREU AND MARCO PACINI

Abstract. In this paper we show that the automorphism groups of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ are isomorphic to the permutation group S_n for $n \geq 5$, while the automorphism groups of $M_{0,4}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{0,4}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ are isomorphic to the permutation group S_3.

MSC (2010): 14T05.
Keywords: Tropical curve, moduli, automorphism.

1. Introduction

The study of the biregular and birational geometry of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli space of Deligne-Mumford stable curves, has recently attracted a lot of interest. Some natural issues, such as, for example, the computation of the automorphims group of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ have been answered only in the last few years. In a series of papers ([3] and [9]), Bruno, Massarenti and Mella proved that the automorphism group of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ is the permutation group S_n, except in a few cases. This paper is devoted to the computation of the automorphism group of other moduli spaces which have an interesting geometric connection with $\overline{M}_{g,n}$.

In the last decade, many interesting parallels have been made between tropical and algebraic geometry. Some classical and new results in algebraic geometry have been proven by means of tropical geometry, see for example [6], [7] and [8]. The tropical counterparts of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ are the moduli space $M_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ of pointed tropical curves constructed in [10], [5] and [2], and its compactification $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ constructed in [4] by means of extended tropical curves. In [1] the authors exhibited a geometrically meaningful connection between $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ and $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$.

This paper is motivated by the following questions:

(1) what are the automorphism group of $M_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$?
(2) what is the interplay between the automorphism group of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ and the ones of $M_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$?

We prove that the two groups in Question (1) are equal for $g = 0$. Moreover for $n \geq 5$ the automorphism group of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is the symmetric group S_n, and the automorphism group of $M_{0,4}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is S_3. Our arguments strongly use that the graph underlying the considered tropical curves is a tree. So we do not see any trivial way to extend our techniques for higher g. At the end of the paper we show that the automorphism group of M_2^{trop} is trivial and we briefly discuss Question (2).

The second author was partially supported by CNPq, processo 304044/2013-0.

2. Preliminaries

A *tree* is a connected graph without cycles. For a tree Γ, we denote by $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ its sets of vertices and edges, respectively. For a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ we denote by $E(v)$ the set of edges incident to v, and by $\mathrm{val}(v)$ the cardinality of $E(v)$. An *isomorphism* g between trees Γ and Γ' is defined as the data of bijections $g_V \colon V(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma')$ and $g_E \colon E(\Gamma) \to E(\Gamma')$ which are compatible with incidence.

Remark 2.1. If g_1 and g_2 are isomorphisms between trees Γ and Γ' with at least 3 vertices such that $g_{1,E} = g_{2,E}$, then $g_1 = g_2$.

A *legged tree* with legs indexed by the finite set L (the set of legs) is the data of a tree Γ and a map $leg_\Gamma \colon L \to V(\Gamma)$. Usually, we will still write Γ for a legged tree and denote by $L(\Gamma)$ its set of legs. Moreover we denote by $L(v)$ the set of legs incident to v, i.e., $L(v) := leg_\Gamma^{-1}(v)$ and by $\ell(v)$ the cardinality of $L(v)$. A *n-legged tree* is a legged tree Γ such that $L(\Gamma) = I_n := \{1, \dots, n\}$. A n-legged tree Γ is *stable* if $\mathrm{val}(v) + \ell(v) \geq 3$ for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$. A *leaf* of a tree Γ is a vertex with $\mathrm{val}(v) = 1$. A *chain* is a tree with only 2 leaves. A *path* in a tree Γ is a subtree of Γ that is a chain.

Given a subset $S \subset E(\Gamma)$, we define the legged tree Γ/S as the legged tree obtained by contracting all edges in S. We say that a legged tree Γ specializes to a legged tree Γ' if there exists $S \subset E(\Gamma)$ such that $\Gamma' = \Gamma/S$.

An *isomorphism* between n-legged trees Γ and Γ' is an isomorphism g between the underlying trees which is also compatible with incidence of legs, i.e., $L(g_V(v)) = L(v)$ for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$. We usually write $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma'$ if there exists an isomorphism between them. Given a n-legged tree Γ and a permutation σ of I_n, we define the n-legged tree $\sigma(\Gamma)$ as the n-legged tree Γ' with same underlying tree, but with $leg_{\Gamma'} = leg_\Gamma \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Given two n-legged trees Γ and Γ', and a permutation σ of I_n such that $\sigma(\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma'$, i.e., such that there exists an isomorphism g between $\sigma(\Gamma)$ and Γ', we denote by $\sigma(v) = g_V(v)$ and $\sigma(e) = g_E(e)$ for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and $e \in E(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 2.2. *A stable n-legged tree does not have nontrivial automorphisms.*

Proof. An automorphism of a stable n-legged tree fixes the legs. Therefore each leaf of the graph must be fixed by such an automorphism, because each leaf must have at least one leg attached to it. Consider the stable legged tree obtained by removing a leaf and making the only edge incident to that leaf a leg. Since by induction on the number of vertices this graph has no nontrivial automorphisms, the result follows. □

A *n-pointed tropical curve of genus 0* is the data of a n-legged tree Γ together with a length function $E(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For a n-legged tree Γ, define the rational open polyhedral cone $C(\Gamma) := \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|E(\Gamma)|}$, and let $\overline{C(\Gamma)} := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{|E(\Gamma)|}$ be its closure. The moduli space $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ of stable n-pointed tropical curves of genus 0 is the cone complex with cells $C(\Gamma)$, where Γ runs through all stable n-legged trees, with glueing conditions specified by specializations. More precisely if Γ specialized to Γ', then $\overline{C(\Gamma')}$ is a face of $\overline{C(\Gamma)}$. The moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ of stable extended n-pointed tropical curves of genus 0 is an extended cone complex which compactifies $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$. For more details about the terminology and the constructions of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$, see [10, Section 2], [5, Section 3], [2, Sections 2.1 and 3.2] and [1, Sections 2 and 4].

An automorphism f of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is a map of cone complexes $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}} \to M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ admitting an inverse which is also a map of cone complexes (see [1, Section 2]). Clearly an automorphism f induces a permutation of the set of cells of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ that preserves the dimension of each cell.

Fix an automorphism f of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$. Assume that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$. By definition, $f|_{C(\Gamma)}$ is induced by an integral linear isomorphism $T\colon \mathbb{R}^{|E(\Gamma)|} \to \mathbb{R}^{|E(\Gamma')|}$. Since $T(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$, we must have that T sends the extremal rays of $\overline{C(\Gamma)}$ into the extremal rays of $\overline{C(\Gamma')}$, and since T is primitive (because the inverse of $f|_{C(\Gamma)}$ must be integral as well), it follows that T is a permutation matrix, i.e., it is induced by a bijection between the sets $E(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma')$. Abusing notation, we denote by $f\colon E(\Gamma) \to E(\Gamma')$ such a bijection. Note that given a subset $S \subset E(\Gamma)$, we have $f(C(\Gamma/S)) = C(\Gamma'/f(S))$, because $\overline{C(\Gamma/S)}$ is a face of $\overline{C(\Gamma)}$.

An automorphism $\overline{f}$ of $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is a morphism of extended cone complexes $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}} \to \overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ admitting an inverse which is also a morphism of extended cone complexes (see [1, Section 2]).

Proposition 2.3. *There is a canonical isomorphism between the automorphism groups of $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$.*

Proof. We note that each automorphism of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ extends to an automorphism of $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ by linearity. Let $\overline{f}$ be an automorphism of $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$. By the definition of morphism of extended cone complex (see [1, Section 2]), for each extended cone $\overline{\sigma}$ in $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$, there exists an extended cone $\overline{\sigma}'$ in $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ such that $\overline{f}|_{\overline{\sigma}}$ factors through a morphims of extended cones $\overline{f}|_{\overline{\sigma}}\colon \overline{\sigma} \to \overline{\sigma}'$. If $\overline{\sigma}$ is a maximal cone, then, since $\overline{f}$ is an automorphism, so must be $\overline{\sigma}'$. By definition of a morphism of extended cones (see [1, Section 2]), we have that $\overline{f}|_{\sigma}$ is a morphism of cones $\overline{f}|_{\sigma}\colon \sigma \to \tau$ where τ is a face (possibly at infinity) of $\overline{\sigma}'$. Hence, since $\overline{f}|_{\sigma}$ is injective (because $\overline{f}$ is an automorphism) and $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\sigma')$, we must have that $\tau = \sigma'$. The same holds true for every maximal extended cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$. Hence $\overline{f}(M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}) = M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ because $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is the union of its maximal cones. So the restriction of $\overline{f}$ to $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is an automorphism of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$. □

3. The result

Throughout the section f will be a fixed automorphism of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let Γ be a stable n-legged tree with m edges. Then the number of $(m+1)$-dimensional cones in $M_{0,n}^{trop}$ whose closure contain $C(\Gamma)$ is*

$$\sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \left(2^{\ell(v)+val(v)-1} - (\ell(v) + val(v) + 1)\right).$$

Proof. The number of $(m+1)$-dimensional cones whose closure contain $C(\Gamma)$ is precisely the number of stable n-legged trees with $m+1$ edges that specialize to Γ. To construct a stable n-legged tree Γ' with $m+1$ edges that specializes to Γ, it is equivalent to replace a vertex v of Γ by two vertices v_1 and v_2, connected by an edge e, with $L(v) = L(v_1) \coprod L(v_2)$ and $E(v) = (E(v_1) \setminus \{e\}) \coprod (E(v_2) \setminus \{e\})$.

Since $\ell(v_i) + \mathrm{val}(v_i) \geq 3$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have to make a partition of $L(v) \cup E(v)$ into two subsets such that each one has at least 2 elements. Clearly, the number

of ways to do this is $2^{\ell(v)+\mathrm{val}(v)} - 2(\ell(v)+\mathrm{val}(v)+1)$. By symmetry, we have to divide by 2, and we obtain the result. □

For the next several results we note that there exists a permutation σ of I_n such that $\Gamma \simeq \sigma(\Gamma')$, if and only if Γ and Γ' are isomorphic as unlegged trees and the corresponding vertices have the same number of legs.

Corollary 3.2. *Let Γ and Γ' be two stable n-legged trees with 2 vertices such that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$. Then there exists a permutation σ of I_n such that $\sigma(\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma'$.*

Proof. Let v_1, v_2 be the vertices of Γ and v_1', v_2' the ones of Γ'. Since f is an automorphism, the numbers of 2-dimensional cones whose closure contain $C(\Gamma)$ and $C(\Gamma')$ are equal. Then we have

$$2^{\ell(v_1)} + 2^{\ell(v_2)} - (\ell(v_1)+\ell(v_2)+4) = 2^{\ell(v_1')} + 2^{\ell(v_2')} - (\ell(v_1')+\ell(v_2')+4),$$

and hence

$$2^{\ell(v_1)} + 2^{\ell(v_2)} = 2^{\ell(v_1')} + 2^{\ell(v_2')}$$

from which we get $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_1')$ or $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_2')$ and the result follows. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let a_i, b_i, for $i = 1,2,3$ be natural numbers such that $a_1+a_2+a_3 = b_1+b_2+b_3$. If*

$$2^{a_1} + 2^{a_2} + 2^{a_3} = 2^{b_1} + 2^{b_2} + 2^{b_3}$$

then $a_i = b_{\tau(i)}$ for some permutation τ of $\{1,2,3\}$.

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$, $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3$ and $a_3 \geq b_3$. Clearly $a_3 \leq b_3 + 1$, otherwise

$$2^{a_3} > 3 \cdot 2^{b_3} \geq 2^{b_1} + 2^{b_2} + 2^{b_3},$$

a contradiction. Therefore, either $a_3 = b_3$ or $a_3 = b_3 + 1$. In the former case the result follows trivially. In the latter, we have

$$2^{a_1} + 2^{a_2} + 2^{b_3} = 2^{b_1} + 2^{b_2}$$

which implies that $b_3 = b_2$, hence $2^{b_1} = 2^{a_1} + 2^{a_2}$ and therefore $b_1 = a_1 + 1 = a_2 + 1$. Now, we have $a_1 + a_1 + b_2 + 1 = a_1 + 1 + b_2 + b_2$, which implies that $a_1 = b_2$, which contradicts the fact that $b_2 \geq b_1$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let Γ and Γ' be two stable n-legged trees with 3 vertices such that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$. Then there exists a permutation σ of I_n such that $\sigma(\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma'$ and $f(e) = \sigma(e)$ for every $e \in E(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the vertices of Γ and v_1', v_2', v_3' the ones of Γ', where v_2 and v_2' are not leaves. Let e_i be the edge between v_i and v_{i+1} and e_i' be the one between v_i' and v_{i+1}', for $i = 1,2$. By the same argument in the proof of Corollary 3.2 we get that

$$2^{\ell(v_1)} + 2^{\ell(v_2)+1} + 2^{\ell(v_3)} = 2^{\ell(v_1')} + 2^{\ell(v_2')+1} + 2^{\ell(v_3')}.$$

Using Lemma 3.3 we conclude that, up to relabeling v_1 and v_3, either $\ell(v_i) = \ell(v_i')$ for $i = 1,2,3$ or

$$\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_2') + 1, \quad \ell(v_2) = \ell(v_1') - 1, \quad \ell(v_3) = \ell(v_3'). \tag{1}$$

In the former case, we just have to prove that $f(e_1) = e_1'$. If $f(e_1) = e_2'$, then contracting e_1 and $f(e_1) = e_2'$ we get that $f(C(\Gamma/\{e_1\})) = C(\Gamma'/\{e_2'\})$ and by

Corollary 3.2 we get that either $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_1')$ or $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_2') + \ell(v_3')$. The second equality can not occur because $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_3')$ and $\ell(v_2') > 0$, hence $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_1') = \ell(v_1)$ and then switching v_1' and v_3' we get the result.

In the latter case we argue as follows. We have two cases. In the first case $f(e_1) = e_1'$. Contracting e_2 and $f(e_2) = e_2'$, we get that $f(C(\Gamma/\{e_2\})) = C(\Gamma'/\{e_2'\})$ and by Corollary 3.2 we get that either $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_1')$ or $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_2') + \ell(v_3')$. The former, together with Equation (1) implies that $\ell(v_2) = \ell(v_2')$ and the result follows, while the latter is clearly impossible because $\ell(v_3') \geq 2$. In the second case $f(e_1) = e_2'$. Using Corollary 3.2 for both $f(C(\Gamma/\{e_2\})) = C(\Gamma'/\{e_1'\})$ and $f(C(\Gamma/\{e_1\})) = C(\Gamma'/\{e_2'\})$, we get that (excluding the obvious impossible cases) $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_3')$ and $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_1')$. This, together with Equation (1), implies that $\ell(v_i) = \ell(v_i')$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_3)$. In particular, switching v_1' and v_3' we get the result. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let Γ and Γ' be two stable n-legged trees such that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$. Then there exist leaves v, v' of Γ, Γ' such that $\ell(v) = \ell(v')$ and $f(E(v)) = E(v')$.*

Proof. Let v be a leaf of Γ and e be the only edge attached to v. Contracting all edges of Γ except e, we get a stable n-legged tree with 2 vertices v and $\overline{v}$. Contracting all edges of Γ' except $f(e)$, by Corollary 3.2 we must get a stable n-legged tree with 2-vertices w' and $\overline{w}'$, such that $\ell(v) = \ell(w')$ (up to switching w' and $\overline{w}'$). If the vertex of $f(e)$ that contracts to w' is (respectively, is not) a leaf, then there exists a leaf v' of Γ' with $\ell(v') = \ell(w')$ (respectively, $\ell(v') < \ell(w')$). In particular, by the same argument applied to f^{-1}, for any given leaf v' of Γ', there exists a leaf w in Γ such that $\ell(w) \leq \ell(v')$.

In the setting above, if we choose v to be a leaf of Γ with the minimum number of legs attached to it, then it follows that the vertex v' attached to $f(e)$ that contracts to w' is also a leaf, otherwise there would be a leaf w of Γ such that $\ell(w) < \ell(v)$, a contradiction. Note that we also get $\ell(v) = \ell(v')$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let Γ and Γ' be two stable n-legged chains with 4 vertices such that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$. Then there exists a permutation σ of I_n such that $\sigma(\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma'$ and $f(e) = \sigma(e)$ for every $e \in E(\Gamma)$. In particular f takes the edge of Γ attached to no leaf to the edge of Γ' attached to no leaf.*

Proof. Assume that v_i (respectively, v_i') are the vertices of Γ (respectively, Γ') for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and e_i (respectively, e_i') the edge connecting v_i and v_{i+1} (respectively, v_i' and v_{i+1}'), for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Proposition 3.5 we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_1')$ and $f(e_1) = e_1'$. Then we have two cases.

In the first case $f(e_2) = e_2'$ and $f(e_3) = e_3'$, hence contracting e_1 and $f(e_1) = e_1'$, and applying Proposition 3.4, we get that $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_3')$ and $\ell(v_4) = \ell(v_4')$ hence $\ell(v_2) = \ell(v_2')$ and the result follows.

In the second case $f(e_2) = e_3'$ and $f(e_3) = e_2'$. Contracting e_1 and $f(e_1) = e_1'$, and applying Proposition 3.4, we get that $\ell(v_3) = \ell(v_3')$, $\ell(v_4) = \ell(v_1') + \ell(v_2')$ and $\ell(v_4') = \ell(v_1) + \ell(v_2)$. These equalities translates to $\ell(v_1') = \ell(v_1)$, $\ell(v_2') = \ell(v_4) - \ell(v_1)$, $\ell(v_3') = \ell(v_3)$ and $\ell(v_4') = \ell(v_1) + \ell(v_2)$. Now contracting e_2 and $f(e_2) = e_3'$, we get that $\ell(v_2) + \ell(v_3) = \ell(v_2')$, which implies $\ell(v_2) + \ell(v_3) = \ell(v_4) - \ell(v_1)$. Contracting e_3 and $f(e_3) = e_2'$, we get that $\ell(v_2) = \ell(v_2') + \ell(v_3')$, which implies that $\ell(v_2) = \ell(v_4) - \ell(v_1) + \ell(v_3)$. Clearly this yields $\ell(v_3) = 0$, contradiction. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let Γ and Γ' be two stable n-legged trees such that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$. Then there exists a permutation σ of I_n such that $\sigma(\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma'$ and $f(e) = \sigma(e)$ for every $e \in E(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the number of edges of Γ. If Γ has one or two edges, then the result is Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.4. Assume now that Γ has at least 3 edges. By Proposition 3.5 there exist leaves v_1 and v_1' of Γ and Γ' such that $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_1')$ and $f(e_1) = e_1'$ where e_1 and e_1' are the unique edges attached to v_1 and v_1'. By the induction hypothesis, upon contracting e_1 and $f(e_1) = e_1'$ we get that there exists σ such that $\sigma(\Gamma/\{e\}) \simeq \Gamma'/\{e'\}$ and $f(e) = \sigma(e)$ for every $e \in E(\Gamma/\{e_1\}) = E(\Gamma) \setminus \{e_1\}$. Let v_2 and v_2'be the other vertices connected to e_1 and e_1'. Since Γ is a tree, there exists a unique path from v_2 to $\sigma^{-1}(v_2')$. If $\sigma(v_2) = v_2'$, then the result follows. Otherwise, we have 2 cases.



FIGURE 1. The first case.

In the first case the path has at least 2 edges. Let e_2 and e_3 be the edges of the path attached respectively to v_2 and $\sigma^{-1}(v_2')$, and let $e_2' := f(e_2)$ and $e_3' := f(e_3)$. Contracting all edges of Γ, except for e_1, e_2, e_3 and all edges of Γ', except for e_1', e_2', e_3', we get two stable n-legged chains K and K' with 4 vertices such that $f(C(K)) = C(K')$. The edges e_2 of K and e_3' of K' are attached to no leaf. However Proposition 3.6 applied to K and K' implies that $f(e_2) = e_3'$, contradiction.

In the second case the path has only one edge e_2. Let $e_2' := f(e_2)$. We claim that, up to switching f with f^{-1} and Γ with Γ', we can assume that v_2' has no other edge attached to it other than e_1' and e_2'. Note that this also implies that $\sigma^{-1}(v_2')$ is a leaf. To prove the claim, contract the set S of all edges that belong to the connected component of $\Gamma \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$ that contains v_2. Let $\overline{v_2}$ and $\overline{v_2}'$ be the vertices of Γ/S and $\Gamma'/f(S)$, respectively, to which v_2 and v_2' contracts. If at least one edge was contracted, i.e., S is nonempty, then, by the induction hypothesis, there exists a permutation σ' of I_n, such that $\sigma'(\Gamma/S) \simeq \Gamma'/f(S)$ and $f(e) = \sigma'(e)$ for every $e \in E(\Gamma/S) = E(\Gamma) \setminus S$. This implies that $\sigma'(e_1) = f(e_1) = e_1'$, hence $\sigma'(\overline{v_2}) = \overline{v_2}'$, and since $\overline{v_2}$ has no edges attached to it other than e_1 and e_2, the only edges attached to $\overline{v_2}'$ are e_1' and e_2'. However, no edge attached to v_2' was contracted, hence we have proved that $E(v_2') = \{e_1', e_2'\}$. If $S = \emptyset$, then we get that the only edges attached to v_2 are e_1 and e_2, which proves our claim after switching Γ with Γ' and f with f^{-1}.

Let us come back to the proof of the second case. Contracting all edges of Γ except e_1 and e_2 and all edges of Γ' except e_1' and e_2', we end up in the conditions of Proposition 3.4. Denote by $\overline{v}_1'$, $\overline{v}_2'$ and $\overline{\sigma^{-1}(v_2')}$ the vertices to which v_1', v_2' and



FIGURE 2. The second case: first contraction

$\sigma^{-1}(v_2')$ contract. Since v_1', v_2' and $\sigma^{-1}(v_2')$ have no edges attached to them that are contracted, we get that $L(\overline{v_1}') = L(v_1')$, $L(\overline{v_2}') = L(v_2')$ and $L(\overline{\sigma^{-1}(v_2')}) = L(\sigma^{-1}(v_2'))$. Moreover, since $f(e_2) = e_2'$, we have that

$$\ell(\sigma^{-1}(v_2')) = n - \ell(v_2') - \ell(v_1').$$



FIGURE 3. The second case: second contraction

Applying Proposition 3.1 to Γ and Γ', we must have that

$$2^{\ell(v_2)+\mathrm{val}(v_2)-1} + 2^{\ell(\sigma^{-1}(v_2'))} = 2^{\ell(\sigma(v_2))+\mathrm{val}(\sigma(v_2))-1} + 2^{\ell(v_2')+1}$$

hence, since $\mathrm{val}(\sigma(v_2)) = \mathrm{val}(v_2) - 1$ and $\ell(\sigma(v_2)) = \ell(v_2)$, we get $\ell(v_2') + 1 = \ell(v_2) + \mathrm{val}(v_2) - 1$. However, we have that the number of legs in Γ must be at least

$$\ell(v_1) + \ell(v_2) + \ell(\sigma^{-1}(v_2')) + 2(\mathrm{val}(v_2) - 2)$$

and, using that $\ell(\sigma^{-1}(v_2')) = \ell(v_2')$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq \ell(v_1) + \ell(v_2') + (\ell(v_2) + \mathrm{val}(v_2) - 2) + \mathrm{val}(v_2) - 2 \\ &= \ell(v_1) + 2\ell(v_2') + \mathrm{val}(v_2) - 2 \\ &= n + \mathrm{val}(v_2) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\mathrm{val}(v_2) = 2$, which implies that Γ has only 3 vertices, from which the result follows from Proposition 3.4. □

Remark 3.8. In this remark, for a n-legged tree Γ, we denote by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ its underlying tree.

When $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$, Proposition 3.7 and Remark 2.1 shows that f induces a unique isomorphism $g_\Gamma\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{\Gamma'}$ unless Γ has exactly 2 vertices with the same number of legs incident to them, in which case the two isomorphisms between $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma'}$ can be induced by some permutation σ that satisfies Proposition 3.7. The unique isomorphisms induced by f are compatible with specializations, namely, for every $S \subset E(\Gamma)$ the isomorphism $g_{\Gamma/S}\colon \widetilde{\Gamma/S} \to \widetilde{\Gamma'/f(S)}$ is induced by g_Γ via specialization. Moreover, in the case where Γ has exactly 2 vertices with the same number of legs incident to them, only one of the two possible isomorphisms is compatible with specializations, unless $n = 4$. To prove such a claim just choose a n-legged tree with 3 vertices that specializes to Γ. We will again abuse notation and denote $f(v) = g_\Gamma(v)$ for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

Let A be a subset of I_n, with $2 \leq |A| \leq n-2$. Define Γ_A the n-legged tree with exactly 2 vertices v_A and $\overline{v}_A$ such that $L(v_A) = A$.

Proposition 3.9. *If $B \subset A$, with $A, B \subset I_n$ with $2 \leq |A|, |B| \leq n-2$, then $L(f(v_B)) \subset L(f(v_A))$.*

Proof. If $B \neq A$, let Γ be the n-legged tree with exactly 3 vertices w_1, w_2 and w_3, with w_1 and w_3 being the leaves, such that $L(w_1) = B$ and $L(w_2) = A \setminus B$. By Proposition 3.7 we can write $L(f(w_1)) = B'$ and $L(f(w_2)) = C'$, with $|B'| = |B|$ and $|C'| = |A| - |B|$. Contracting the edge between w_2 and w_3 in Γ we get Γ_B, hence, by Remark 3.8, we get $L(f(v_B)) = B'$. Contracting the edge between w_1 and w_2 in Γ we get Γ_A, hence, again by Remark 3.8, $L(f(v_A)) = B' \cup C'$. Therefore we have $L(f(v_B)) \subset L(f(v_A))$. □

Corollary 3.10. *Let $n \geq 5$ and Γ_i be a stable n-legged trees with exactly 2 vertices v_i and $\overline{v_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $\ell(v_1) = \ell(v_2) = 2$, $|L(v_1) \cap L(v_2)| = 1$ and $L(v_3) = L(v_1) \cup L(v_2)$. Then $|L(f(v_1)) \cap L(f(v_2))| = 1$ and $L(f(v_3)) = L(f(v_1)) \cup L(f(v_2))$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, we have $L(f(v_1)) \subset L(f(v_3))$ and $L(f(v_2)) \subset L(f(v_3))$. Since $L(f(v_1)) \neq L(f(v_2))$ (otherwise $f(C(\Gamma_1)) = f(C(\Gamma_2))$) and $\ell(f(v_i)) = \ell(v_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (by Corollary 3.2), the result follows. □

Proposition 3.11. *There exists a permutation σ of I_n such that, for every stable n-legged tree Γ with exactly 2 vertices, we have $L(f(v)) = \sigma(L(v))$ for all $v \in V(\Gamma)$ with $|L(v)| = 2$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.10, there exist distinct elements i_1, i_2 and i_3 of I_n such that

$$L(f(v_{\{1,2\}}) = \{i_1, i_2\},\ L(f(v_{\{1,3\}})) = \{i_1, i_3\},\ L(f(v_{\{1,2,3\}})) = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.9, we have that $L(f(v_{\{2,3\}})) \subset \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$. In this way, since

$$L(f(v_{\{2,3\}})) \neq L(f(v_{\{1,2\}})) \quad \text{and} \quad L(f(v_{\{2,3\}})) \neq L(f(v_{\{1,3\}})),$$

we get $L(f(v_{\{2,3\}})) = \{i_2, i_3\}$. By Corollary 3.10 we have

$$L(f(v_{\{1,4\}})) \cap L(f(v_{\{1,2\}})) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad L(f(v_{\{1,4\}})) \cap L(f(v_{\{1,3\}})) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus, we get that either $i_1 \in L(f(v_{\{1,4\}}))$ or $\{i_2, i_3\} = L(f(v_{\{1,4\}}))$. The latter case can not happen because $L(f(v_{\{1,4\}})) \neq L(f(v_{\{2,3\}}))$. Hence, there exists $i_4 \in I_n \setminus \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ such that $L(f(v_{\{1,4\}})) = \{i_1, i_4\}$. Analogously, $i_2 \in L(f(v_{\{2,4\}}))$, and

since $L(f(v_{\{1,4\}})) \cap L(f(v_{\{2,4\}})) \neq \emptyset$, we get that $L(f(v_{\{2,4\}})) = \{i_2, i_4\}$. Iterating the argument, we find indices $i_j \in I_n$ such that $L(f(v_{\{j,k\}})) = \{i_j, i_k\}$ and we can define $\sigma(j) := i_j$. □

Corollary 3.12. *There exists a permutation σ of I_n such that, for every stable n-legged tree Γ with exactly 2 vertices, we have $L(f(v)) = \sigma(L(v))$ for all $v \in V(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $B \subset L(v)$ such that $|B| = 2$. Then, by Proposition 3.11, there exists a permutation σ of I_n, which does not depend on B, such that $\sigma(B) = L(f(v_B))$. By Proposition 3.9, we have that $\sigma(B) \subset L(f(v))$. Since this holds for all B with $|B| = 2$, then $L(f(v)) = \sigma(L(v))$. □

Theorem 3.13. *For $n \geq 5$ the automorphism groups of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ are isomorphic to S_n. The automorphism groups of $M_{0,4}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{0,4}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ are isomorphic to S_3.*

Proof. Note that by Proposition 2.3 it is enough to prove the theorem for $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$.

First of all, we show that, for $n \geq 5$, there is an injective group homomorphism from S_n to the automorphism group of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$. Clearly, given a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, there is an automorphism of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ defined by the map sending Γ to $\sigma(\Gamma)$ and preserving the lenghts of the edges. If σ induces the identity in $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$, then $\sigma(\Gamma_A) = \Gamma_A$ for every $A \subset I_n$ with $2 \leq |A| \leq n-2$ (recall the definition of Γ_A before Proposition 3.9). This implies that $\sigma(A) = A$ for every $A \subset I_n$ with $|A| \neq n/2$. In particular, since $n \geq 5$, this holds for every $A \subset I_n$ such that $|A| = 2$. This clearly implies that σ is the indentity.

Now we prove that every automorphism f of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is induced by some permutation $\sigma \in S_n$. Let σ be the permutation of I_n as in the statement of Corollary 3.12. For $n \geq 5$ all that is left to do is to prove that σ satisfies $L(f(v)) = \sigma(L(v))$ for all Γ stable n-legged tree and $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

We will prove the result by induction on the number of edges. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be stable n-legged trees, such that $f(C(\Gamma_1)) = C(\Gamma_2)$. Let v be a leaf of Γ_1 and e be the only edge attached to it. Contracting all edges of Γ_1 except e, and all edges of Γ_2 except $f(e)$, we get two stable n-legged trees Γ_1' and Γ_2' with exactly 2 vertices. Let v' be the vertex in Γ_1' to which v contracts. By Remark 3.8, Corollary 3.12 and the fact that $L(v) = L(v')$, we get that

$$L(f(v))) = L(f(v')) = \sigma(L(v')) = \sigma(L(v)). \tag{2}$$

Contracting e and using the induction hypothesis, we get that

$$L(f(w)) = \sigma(L(w)) \quad \text{for} \quad w \in V(\Gamma_1/\{e\}). \tag{3}$$

Let v_1 be the vertex in Γ_1 connected to v and w_1 be the vertex in $\Gamma_1/\{e\}$ to which v and v_1 contract. We have $L(w_1) = L(v) \cup L(v_1)$ and therefore, by Remark 3.8, $L(f(w_1)) = L(f(v)) \cup L(f(v_1))$. Combining Equations (2) and (3), we get $\sigma(L(w_1)) = \sigma(L(v)) \cup L(f(v_1))$, and since $\sigma(L(w_1)) = \sigma(L(v)) \cup \sigma(L(v_1))$, we have that $L(f(v_1)) = \sigma(L(v_1))$. Finally, if $v_2 \in V(\Gamma) \setminus \{v, v_1\}$, then there exists $w \in V(\Gamma/\{e\})$ such that $L(v_2) = L(w)$, and the result follows by Equation (3).

For $n = 4$, we have that $M_{0,4}^{trop}$ has just 3 maximal cones which have dimension 1 and they intersect along the 0-dimensional cone. Therefore it is clear that its group of automorphisms is S_3. Note that the map $S_4 \to S_3$, defined

at the beginning of the proof, has kernel equal to the normal Klein subgroup $\{(), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$. □

4. Final remarks and further questions

We begin with an example in higher genus. The notion of automorphism of $M_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ naturally extends to $M_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ and $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ for $g > 0$. Also Proposition 2.3 should hold for higher genus. However, a series of complications arise. For instance, Proposition 2.2 does not hold for general graphs. Hence the typical cell of $M_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ is of type $C(\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|E(\Gamma)|}/\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Therefore, if f is an automorphism of $M_{g,n}^{\mathrm{trop}}$ such that $f(C(\Gamma)) = C(\Gamma')$, then $f|_{C(\Gamma)}$ is induced by a bijection $f\colon E(\Gamma) \to E(\Gamma')$ that is compatible with $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma')$. Moreover, we do not see how to extend Proposition 3.1 for higher genus.



Figure 4. Genus-2 tropical curves.

We now prove that the automorphism group of M_2^{trop} is trivial. Let f be an automorphism of M_2^{trop}. Since Γ_1 only specializes to Γ_3, and Γ_2 specializes to Γ_3 and Γ_4, we see that $f(C(\Gamma_1)) = C(\Gamma_1)$ and $f(C(\Gamma_2)) = C(\Gamma_2)$ (the argument is essentially the one in Corollary 3.2). Analogously, it is easy to see that $f(C(\Gamma_i)) = C(\Gamma_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1) = S_3$, we see that $f|_{C(\Gamma_1)}$ is the identity, and hence $f|_{C(\Gamma_3)}$ and $f|_{C(\Gamma_5)}$ are the identity as well. If $f|_{C(\Gamma_2)}$ is the identity we are done. Otherwise, since there exists an automorphism of Γ_2 swapping the two loops, we have that f must swap the unique edge of Γ_2 which is not a loop, with a loop of Γ_2. This would imply that $f(C(\Gamma_3)) = C(\Gamma_4)$, a contradiction.

We conclude the paper by noting that, by [1, Proposition 6.1.8], we have that a toroidal automorphism of a toroidal scheme X induces an automorphism of its skeleton $\overline{\Sigma}(X)$, and that we get a group homomorphism

$$\alpha_X\colon \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tor}}(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\overline{\Sigma}(X)),$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tor}}(X)$ denotes the group of toroidal automorphisms of X. Hence, by [1, Theorem 1.2.1], we have group homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{M}_{0,n}) \hookleftarrow \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tor}}(\overline{M}_{0,n}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\overline{M}_{0,n}^{\mathrm{trop}})$$

which are, a posteriori, isomorphisms for $n \geq 5$ due to the result [3] of Bruno and Mella and Theorem 3.13. Nevertheless it would be interesting to address the following questions

(1) when the homomorphism α_X is an isomorphism or at least injective?
(2) when the natural inclusion $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tor}}(X) < \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is an isomorphism?

We note that $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tor}}(\overline{M}_{0,4}) = S_3$, while $\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{M}_{0,4}) = \mathrm{PGL}(2)$, hence Question (2) does not always have a positive answer.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Lucia Caporaso for precious suggestions on the preliminary versions of this paper.

References

[1] D. Abramovich, L. Caporaso and S. Payne, *The tropicalization of the moduli space of curves*, Annales Scientifiques de L'École Normale Supérieure, series 4 **48 (4)** (2012), 765–809.
[2] S. Brannetti, M. Melo and F. Viviani. *On the tropical Torelli Map*, Adv. Math. **226 (3)** (2011) 2546–2586.
[3] A. Bruno and M. Mella, *The automorphism group of* $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, Journal of the European Mathematical Society, **15 (3)** (2013), 949–968.
[4] L. Caporaso, *Algebraic and tropical curves: comparing their moduli spaces.* In: Handbook of Moduli, Volume I. G. Farkas, I. Morrison (Eds.), Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Volume XXIV (2012), 119–160.
[5] L. Caporaso, *Geometry of tropical moduli spaces and linkage of graphs.* Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A. **119** (2012) 579–598
[6] F. Cools, J. Draisma, S. Payne, E. Robeva, *A tropical proof of the Brill-Noehter Theorem.* Adv. Math. **230** (2012) 759–776.
[7] D. Jensen and S. Payne, *Tropical independence I: Shapes of divisors and a proof of the Gieseker-Petri theorem.* Algebra & Number Theory, **8 (9)** (2014), 2043–2066.
[8] D. Jensen and S. Payne, *Tropical indepence II: The maximal rank conjecture for quadrics.* arxiv:1505.05460.
[9] A. Massarenti, *The automorphism group of* $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, **89** (2014), 131–150.
[10] G. Mikhalkin, *Moduli spaces of rational tropical curves.* Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2006, 39–51, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2007.

Alex Abreu, Universidade Federal Fluminense,
Rua M. S. Braga, s/n, Valonguinho, 24020-005 Niterói (RJ) Brazil.
E-mail address: alexbra1@gmail.com

Marco Pacini, Universidade Federal Fluminense,
Rua M. S. Braga, s/n, Valonguinho, 24020-005 Niterói (RJ) Brazil
E-mail address: pacini@impa.br and pacini.uff@gmail.com